

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/731,675	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MURATA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jared J. Fureman	<b>Art Unit</b> 2876	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** non-final rejection

(1) Mr. Jared J. Fureman (PTO).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Keiichi Nishimura (29,093).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006

**Time:** 6:00 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

7 and 18

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jared J. Fureman

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Nishimura was informed that the examiner believed that claims 7 and 18 should be included in Group II rather than Group I (see Applicant's response to restriction requirement, filed on 11/3/2005). Claim 7 recites "interval-changing means" (see claim 7, line 14) and claim 18 recites "changing interval" (see claim 18, line 9), which is shown in figure 14, Group II. Mr. Nishimura agreed to remove claims 7 and 18 from Group I. The examiner indicated that the claims remaining claims of Group I would now be examined.